Motivation

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

1/21

Dark Matter Analysis in the CPV I(2+1)HDM

Dorota Sokołowska

University of Warsaw, Faculty of Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics

Lisbon, 09.09.2016

arXiv:1608.01673 [hep-ph]

with A. Cordero-Cid, J. Hernandez-Sanchez, V. Keus, S. F. King, S. Moretti, D. Rojas Motivation

I(2+1)HDM

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

 $m_{S_1} > m_Z$

Conclusion

The Standard Model

A rigorously tested Theory of Fundamental Interactions

From the LHC:

- a Higgs particle found in 2012
- no significant deviation from the SM
- no sign of New Physics

But no explanation for:

- Dark Matter
- neutrino masses
- baryon asymmetry and baryogenesis
- extra source of CP violation
- vacuum stability

. . .



JHEP 08 (2016) 045

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □

Dark Matter

Evidence for Dark Matter at diverse scales:

- galaxy scales: rotational speeds of galaxies
- cluster scales: gravitational lensing at galaxy clusters
- horizon scales: anisotropies in the CMB
- \Rightarrow around 25 % of the Universe is:
 - cold
 - non-baryonic
 - neutral
 - very weakly interacting
- \Rightarrow Weakly Interacting Massive Particle
 - stable due to the discrete symmetry

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \ \mathrm{DM} \to \mathrm{SM} \ \mathrm{SM}}_{\text{pair annihilation}}, \quad \underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \not\to \mathrm{SM}, \ldots}_{\text{stable}}$$

- annihilation cross-section $\langle \sigma v \rangle \propto \text{EW}$ interaction
- thermal evolution of DM density a fixed value after freeze-out

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

Higgs-portal DM

Simplest realisation: the SM with $\Phi_{SM} + Z_2$ -odd scalar S:

 $S \to -S$, SM fields \to SM fields

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial S)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{DM}^2 S^2 - \lambda_{DM} S^4 - \lambda_{SSh} \Phi_{SM}^2 S^2$$

Higgs-portal interaction:

 $\mathrm{SM}\ \mathrm{sector}\ \overset{\mathrm{Higgs}}{\longleftrightarrow}\ \mathrm{DM}\ \mathrm{sector}$



given by the same coupling

4/21

Motivation

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$



5/21

Conclusion

3HDM

Three-Higgs Doublet Models:

- three SU(2) doublets, ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3
- richer symmetry groups than the 2HDMs
- richer particle spectrum
- possible update to 6HDMs
- in this talk:

3HDM with Two Inert and One Higgs doublet i.e. I(2+1)HDMfocus on **DM phenomenology** in the CPV I(2+1)HDMcollider phenomenology \rightarrow Venus' and Diana's talks on Tuesday $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

 $m_{S_1} > m_Z$

I(2+1)HDM

 Z_2 -symmetry in I(2+1)HDM:

 $\phi_1 \to -\phi_1, \ \phi_2 \to -\phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \to \phi_3, \ {\rm SM \ fields} \to {\rm SM \ fields}$

 Z_2 -invariant potential:

$$\begin{split} V &= \sum_{i}^{3} \left[-|\mu_{i}^{2}| (\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}) + \lambda_{ii}(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i})^{2} \right] + \sum_{ij}^{3} \left[\lambda_{ij}(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i})(\phi_{j}^{\dagger}\phi_{j}) + \lambda_{ij}'(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{j})(\phi_{j}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}) \right] \\ &+ \left(-\mu_{12}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{1}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + h.c. \right) \\ &+ \left(\lambda_{4}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{5}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{6}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \lambda_{7}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{8}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + h.c. \right) \end{split}$$

- 21 parameters in V
- explicit CP violation: $\mu_{12}^2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are complex
- Yukawa interaction: "Model I"-type (only ϕ_3 couples to fermions)
- explicit Z_2 -symmetry

Motivation

I(2+1)HDM

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

 $m_{S_1} > m_Z$

7/21

Conclusion

Parameters of V

- $\mu_3^2 = v^2 \lambda_{33} = m_h^2/2$ fixed from extremum conditions
- "dark democracy": $\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2$, $\lambda_{13} = \lambda_{23}$, $\lambda'_{13} = \lambda'_{23}$, $\lambda_3 = \lambda_2$, e.g. $\lambda_2(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + \lambda_3(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2 + h.c. \rightarrow \lambda_2\left((\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + (\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2 + h.c.\right)$
- $\left(\lambda_4(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3) + \lambda_5(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3) + ...\right)$: no new phenomenology $\Rightarrow \lambda_{4-8} = 0$
- $\lambda_1, \lambda_{11,22,12}, \lambda'_{12}$ self-interactions of inert doublets

21 parameters \rightarrow 7 important parameters

- μ_2^2 mass scale of inert particles
- $\mu_{12}^2 = |\mu_{12}^2|e^{i\theta_{12}}, \lambda_2 = |\lambda_2|e^{i\theta_2}$ mass splittings and CP violation
- $\lambda_2, \lambda_{23}, \lambda'_{23}$ DM-Higgs coupling

Motivation

I(2+1)HDM

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

 $m_{S_1} > m_Z$

Conclusion

DM in I(2+1)HDM

 Z_2 -invariant vacuum state:

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^+ \\ \frac{H_1^0 + iA_1^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{H_2^0 + iA_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{v + h + iG^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ϕ_3 SM-like doublet with SM-like Higgs h
- Z_2 -odd doublets ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 mix:

$$S_{1} = \frac{\alpha H_{1}^{0} + \alpha H_{2}^{0} - A_{1}^{0} + A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^{2} + 2}}, \quad S_{2} = \frac{-H_{1}^{0} - H_{2}^{0} - \alpha A_{1}^{0} + \alpha A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^{2} + 2}}$$
$$S_{3} = \frac{\beta H_{1}^{0} - \beta H_{2}^{0} + A_{1}^{0} + A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\beta^{2} + 2}}, \quad S_{4} = \frac{-H_{1}^{0} + H_{2}^{0} + \beta A_{1}^{0} + \beta A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\beta^{2} + 2}}$$
$$H_{1}^{\pm} = \frac{e^{\pm i\theta_{12}/2}}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{1}^{\pm} - S_{2}^{\pm}), \quad H_{2}^{\pm} = \frac{e^{\mp i\theta_{12}/2}}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{1}^{\pm} + S_{2}^{\pm})$$

- 4 neutral and 4 charged Z_2 -odd particles (double the IDM)
- S_1 **DM candidate**, other dark particles heavier

I(2+1)HDM

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

Physical Parameters

Parameters of V:
$$\mu_2^2, |\lambda_2|, |\mu_{12}^2|, \lambda_{23}, \lambda'_{23}, \theta_{12}, \theta_2$$

Physical parameters:

DM mass:

 m_{S_1}

Mass splittings:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{12} &= m_{S_2} - m_{S_1} \\ \delta_{1c} &= m_{S_1^{\pm}} - m_{S_1} \\ \delta_c &= m_{S_2^{\pm}} - m_{S_1^{\pm}} \end{split}$$

Higgs-DM coupling:

 $g_{S_1S_1h}$

CPv phases:

 θ_{12}, θ_2



Benchmark scenarios

$$\begin{split} A1: \ \delta_{12} &= 125 \, \text{GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 50 \, \text{GeV}, \delta_c = 50 \, \text{GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 1.5 \\ m_{S_1} &< m_{S_{2,3,4}}, m_{S_{1,2}^{\pm}} \ \text{(no coannihilation)} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} B1: \ \delta_{12} &= 125\,{\rm GeV}, \\ \delta_{1c} &= 50\,{\rm GeV}, \\ \delta_c &= 50\,{\rm GeV}, \\ \theta_2 &= \theta_{12} = 0.82 \\ \\ m_{S_1} &\approx m_{S_3} < m_{S_{2,4}}, \\ m_{S_{1,2}^\pm} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} C1: \ \delta_{12} &= 12 \, {\rm GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 100 \, {\rm GeV}, \delta_c = 1 \, {\rm GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 1.57 \\ m_{S_1} \approx m_{S_3} \approx m_{S_4} \approx m_{S_2} < m_{S_{1,2}^\pm} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} G1: \ \delta_{12} &= 2\,\mathrm{GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 1\,\mathrm{GeV}, \delta_c = 1\,\mathrm{GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 0.82\\ m_{S_1} &\approx m_{S_3} \approx m_{S_4} \approx m_{S_2} \approx m_{S_1^\pm} \approx m_{S_2^\pm} \end{split}$$

Motivation

I(2+1)HDN

 $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

 $m_{S_1} > m_Z$

Conclusion

DM Annihilation for light DM



Higgs-mediated annihilation

depends on m_{S_1} and $g_{S_1S_1h}$

Z-mediated coannihilation

depends on $m_{S_j} - m_{S_1}$: **A1**: no coannihilation **B1**: S_1S_3 coannihilation only **C1**: S_1S_3 , S_2S_4 , S_1S_4 , S_2S_3 coann. depends on ZS_iS_j couplings

> (□) (圖) (불) (불) 분들 () (?) 11/21

Z-inert couplings

$$\begin{split} \chi_{ZS_{1}S_{3}} &= \chi_{ZS_{2}S_{4}} = \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2} + 1}\sqrt{\beta^{2} + 1}}, \quad \chi_{ZS_{1}S_{4}} = \chi_{ZS_{2}S_{3}} = \frac{\alpha\beta - 1}{\sqrt{\alpha^{2} + 1}\sqrt{\beta^{2} + 1}}, \\ \chi_{ZS_{1}S_{3}}^{2} &+ \chi_{ZS_{1}S_{4}}^{2} = 1, \quad \chi_{ZS_{2}S_{3}}^{2} + \chi_{ZS_{2}S_{4}}^{2} = 1 \end{split}$$



- mass order: $m_{S_1} < m_{S_3} < m_{S_4} < m_{S_2}$
- CPc value $\chi_{ZS_1S_3} = -1, \chi_{ZS_1S_4} = 0$
- ZS_1S_3 reduced; 20 50% for A1, B1, ~ 0 for C1
- ZS_1S_4 close to the CPc value \rightarrow dominant channel for C1

Low DM mass



- A1: mainly Higgs annihilation, large $g_{S_1S_1h}$
- B1: Higgs annihilation (smaller $g_{S_1S_1h}$)
 - + ZS1S3 coannihilation (reduced with respect to the CPc case)
- C1: mainly ZS1S4 coannihilation $(\chi_{ZS_1S_4} \approx -1)$
 - + Higgs annihilation

Tools used in calculation: LanHEP, arXiv:1412.5016 [physics.comp-ph]; CalcHEP 3.4, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1729;micrOMEGAs 4.2 arXiv:1407.6129 [hep-ph] $m_{S_1} < m_Z$

Medium DM mass

Main annihilation channels into gauge bosons $V = W^{\pm}, Z$: S_1 V S_1 V V \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow $g_{S_1S_1h}, g_e$ \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow $g_{VVS_1S_1} = \frac{g_e^2}{2\sin^2\theta_W}$ S_1 V S_1 V V S_1 V

no dependence on the benchmarks



4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 臣 ト 4 臣 ト 王 日 の Q ペ
14 / 21

Filling the plot



 $m_{S_1} < m_h/2$: many new solutions:

different mass splittings $+ ZS_iS_j$ interaction strength

 $m_{S_1}>m_h/2:$ less freedom but still new solutions: Higgs mediated coannihilation + sign of hS_3S_3 coupling

Direct detection

$$\sigma_{S_1,N} \propto \frac{g_{S_1S_1h}^2}{(m_{S_1} + m_N)^2}$$



Case A1: mostly excluded (large $g_{S_1S_1h}$) Cases B1 and C1: mostly within the limits

Indirect detection



Most of the parameters space in agreement with Fermi-LAT

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目≯ ◆日≯ 三日日 のへで

18/21

Heavy DM



- $g_{S_1S_1h}$ in Case G > $g_{S_1S_1h}$ Case H
- The same behaviour in both cases
- Lower m_{S_1} for Case G
- Not really different from the CPc case

Direct Detection





- in agreement with LUX
- within the reach of XENON-1T
- case G (bigger couplings) easier to see/exclude than case H (smaller couplings)



20/21

Conclusions

- **3HDM** with Z_2 symmetry: I(2+1)HDM
- viable DM candidate
- large dark sector: important coannhibition effects in $\Omega_{DM}h^2$

 \rightarrow varying strength of gauge-inert couplings

 \rightarrow new regions in agreement with Planck

• agreement with direct and indirect detection limits:

 $45\,{\rm GeV} \lesssim m_{S_1} \lesssim 62.5\,{\rm GeV},\, 64\,{\rm GeV} \lesssim m_{S_1} \lesssim 74\,{\rm GeV},\, m_{S_1} \gtrsim 400\,{\rm GeV}$

• interesting LHC signatures and prospects of detection

References

• Higgs-portal DM models

[B. Patt and F. Wilczek, hep-ph/0605188, X. Chu, T. Hambye, and M. H. Tytgat, JCAP 1205 (2012) 034, A. Djouadi, O. Lebedev, Y. Mambrini, and J. Quevillon, Phys.Lett. B709 (2012) 65–69]

• 3HDM

[V. Keus, S. King, S. Moretti JHEP 1401 (2014) 052, arXiv:1408.0796; V. Keus, S. F. King,
 S. Moretti and D. Sokolowska, JHEP 1411 (2014) 016, JHEP 1511, 003 (2015)]

• Experimental constraints

[ATLAS and CMS collaborations, JHEP 08 (2016) 045; http://lux.brown.edu/LUX_dark_matter/Talks_ files/LUX_NewDarkMatterSearchResult_332LiveDays_IDM2016_160721.pdf("Dark-matter results from 332 new live days of LUX data, Identification of Dark Matter, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, 21 July, 2016"), M. Ackermann et al. [Fermi-LAT Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 23, 231301, XENON1T Collaboration, Springer Proc. Phys. 148 (2013) 93]

• Numerical Tools

[LanHEP, arXiv:1412.5016 [physics.comp-ph]; CalcHEP 3.4, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1729; micrOMEGAs 4.2 arXiv:1407.6129 [hep-ph]]

BACKUP SLIDES

22 / 21

Mass formulas

$$\begin{split} m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2 &= (-\mu_2^2 - |\mu_{12}^2|) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{23}v^2, \quad m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 = (-\mu_2^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{23}v^2. \\ m_{S_1}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) - \Lambda - \mu_2^2, \\ m_{S_2}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) + \Lambda - \mu_2^2, \\ m_{S_3}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) - \Lambda' - \mu_2^2, \\ m_{S_4}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) + \Lambda' - \mu_2^2, \\ \Lambda &= \sqrt{v^4 |\lambda_2|^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|^2 - 2v^2 |\lambda_2| |\mu_{12}^2| \cos(\theta_{12} + \theta_2)}, \\ \Lambda' &= \sqrt{v^4 |\lambda_2|^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|^2 + 2v^2 |\lambda_2| |\mu_{12}^2| \cos(\theta_{12} + \theta_2)}. \end{split}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{-|\mu_{12}^2|\cos\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\cos\theta_2 - \Lambda}{|\mu_{12}^2|\sin\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\sin\theta_2}, \qquad \beta = \frac{|\mu_{12}^2|\cos\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\cos\theta_2 - \Lambda'}{|\mu_{12}^2|\sin\theta_{12} - v^2|\lambda_2|\sin\theta_2}.$$

Physical Basis

$$\begin{split} |\mu_{12}^2| &= \frac{1}{2} (m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 - m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2), \\ \lambda_{23} &= \frac{2\mu_2^2}{v^2} + \frac{m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 + m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2}{v^2}, \\ \lambda'_{23} &= \frac{1}{v^2} (m_{S_2}^2 + m_{S_1}^2 - m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 - m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2), \\ \mu_2^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2} g_{S_1S_1h} - \frac{v^2 |\lambda_2|}{2(1+\alpha^2)} \Big(4\alpha \sin \theta_2 + 2(\alpha^2 - 1) \cos \theta_2 \Big) - \frac{m_{S_2}^2 + m_{S_1}^2}{2}, \\ |\lambda_2| &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[|\mu_{12}^2| \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_{12}) + \frac{m_{S_2}^2 - m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2}{2} \right]^2 - |\mu_{12}^2|^2 \right]. \end{split}$$

▲ □ ▶ < ₫ ▶ < 불 ▶ < 불 ▶ 是|= < 의 < 은
 24/21

DM annihilation diagrams



◆□ → < 部 → < 書 → < 書 → 書 = 少へ(~ 25 / 21

DM annihilation diagrams - gauge limit

Heavy DM (co)annihilation diagrams with pure gauge boson final states:



DM annihilation diagrams



Heavy DM (co)annhilation channels involving the SM-like Higgs boson:

4 ロ ト 4 部 ト 4 差 ト 4 差 ト 差 注 の Q ペ 27 / 21

Relic density



4 ロ ト (日)ト (日)ト (日)ト (日) (28/21)

Higgs-inert couplings



<ロト < 部ト < 言ト < 言ト 三日日 のへで 29 / 21

Planck vs LHC



Planck vs LHC



4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 や 9 (や 31/21