# Z<sub>2</sub> breaking effects in 2-loop RG evolution of 2HDM

#### Joel Oredsson<sup>1</sup> in collaboration with Johan Rathsman

Department of Astronomy and Theoretical Physics, Lund University, Sweden

4 September 2018

[Based on work soon to be on the arXiv...]



<sup>1</sup>joel.oredsson@thep.lu.se

#### Renormalization group equation(RGE) analysis of 2HDM

- → Useful when investigating parameter space of model. Can look for finetuning; instabilities; violation of perturbativity and unitarity; etc.
- → There exist plenty of work employing 1-loop RGE equations for scalar or Yukawa sector.
  Ex. of recent ones [1001.2561, 1111.5760, 1408.3405, 1505.04001, 1703.05873, 1710.10410, 1803.08521, etc.]
- → More recently, even (Z<sub>2</sub> symmetric) 2-loop RGEs derived with PyR@TE and SARAH. Chowdhury, Eberhardt [1503.08216] M.Krauss et.al. [1711.08460, 1807.07581]
- → We have derived the general set of 2-loop RGEs for any, potentially complex, 2HDM and implemented them in, to be publicly available, C++ code. RGEs were derived using framework in [Machacek & Vaughn 83-84, Luo, Wang, Xiao 02]

→ This talk: comparing parameter space of 2HDM with different choices of Z₂ symmetries imposed on scalar/Yukawa sector.

## Notation for 2HDM

 $\rightarrow$  Generic basis:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{V} = m_{11}^{2} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} + m_{22}^{2} \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} - (m_{12}^{2} \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} + h.c.) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1} \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{2} \left( \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right)^{2} \\ + \lambda_{3} \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) \left( \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) + \lambda_{4} \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) \left( \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) \\ + \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{5} \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{6} \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{1} \right) \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) + \lambda_{7} \left( \Phi_{2}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) \left( \Phi_{1}^{\dagger} \Phi_{2} \right) + h.c. \right\}$$

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{Q}_L^0 \cdot \tilde{\Phi}_a \eta_a^{U,0} U_R^0 + \bar{Q}_L^0 \cdot \Phi_a \eta_a^{D,0} D_R^0 + \bar{L}_L^0 \cdot \Phi_a \eta_a^{L,0} E_R^0 + \text{h.c.} .$$

- → For simplicitly, assume CP conservation, i.e. *real basis*.
- ightarrow Softly Z<sub>2</sub> breaking parameter:  $m_{12}^2$
- $\rightarrow$  Hard Z<sub>2</sub> breaking parameters:  $\lambda_6$ ,  $\lambda_7$

# Notation for 2HDM

 $\rightarrow$  Scalar potential in Higgs basis:

$$-\mathcal{L}_{V} = Y_{1}H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1} + Y_{2}H_{2}^{\dagger}H_{2} + \left(Y_{3}H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{2} + h.c.\right) + \frac{1}{2}Z_{1}(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}Z_{2}(H_{2}^{\dagger}H_{2})^{2} \\ + \frac{1}{2}Z_{3}(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1})(H_{2}^{\dagger}H_{2}) + \frac{1}{2}Z_{4}(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{2})(H_{2}^{\dagger}H_{1}) \\ + \left\{\frac{1}{2}Z_{5}(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{2})^{2} + \left[Z_{6}(H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{1}) + Z_{7}(H_{2}^{\dagger}H_{2})\right]H_{1}^{\dagger}H_{2} + h.c.\right\}.$$

 $\rightarrow$  Yukawa sector in fermion mass basis:

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = \bar{Q}_L \tilde{H}_1 \kappa^U U_R + \bar{Q}_L H_1 \kappa^D D_R + \bar{L}_L H_1 \kappa^L E_R + \bar{Q}_L \tilde{H}_2 \rho^U U_R + \bar{Q}_L H_2 \rho^D D_R + \bar{L}_L H_2 \rho^L E_R + \text{h.c.},$$

→  $\kappa^F_F$  diagonal mass matrices.  $\rho^F_F$  arbitrary complex matrices.

$$ightarrow$$
 If Z<sub>2</sub> symmetric:  $ho^F \propto \kappa^F$ 

#### Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry scenarios

 $\rightarrow$  Four CP conserving scenarios:

 $\rightarrow$  I) Exact Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry.

 $\rightarrow$  II) Softly broken Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry:

 $0 \neq m_{12}^2 \in \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  III) Hard broken Z<sub>2</sub> in scalar sector:

 $0 \neq \lambda_6, \lambda_7 \in \mathbb{R}$ 

→ IV) Hard broken Z₂ in Yukawa sector by a disalignment ansatz:

$$\rho^F = a^F \kappa^F, \ a^F \in \mathbb{R}$$

# 2-loop RGEs of 2HDM

→ At 1-loop, Yukawa evolves independently from scalar sector. But, Yukawa couplings enter in quartics beta functions through:

$$\bigcup \qquad \Rightarrow \beta_{\lambda} \supset \operatorname{tr}(\eta^4) \qquad \qquad \bigcup \qquad \Rightarrow \beta_{\lambda} \supset \lambda \operatorname{tr}(\eta^2)$$

 $\rightarrow$  At 2-loop, Yukawa sector get contributions from quartics:

$$\begin{array}{c} & & \\ & &$$

→ A small breaking of Z<sub>2</sub> at one scale will spread in the RG evolution and induce additional Z<sub>2</sub> breaking parameters.

# **RG evolution algorithm**

→ Start at top mass scale. Generate a tree-lvl stable, unitary and perturbative parameter point with 125 GeV Higgs boson.

1-loop corrected mass using [Spheno]

- → Solve the coupled ODE system for the 129 real parameters in the generic basis. Using libraries [GSL, Eigen]
  - → The VEVs evolve according to the anomalous dimensions. This means that β runs.
- → The transformation to the Higgs basis and diagonalization of the Yukawa sector is performed at each step in the evolution.
- → The breakdown energy A refers to lowest energy where either perturbativity, unitarity or stability is violated.

#### Scenario I: Exact Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

# Exact Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry (type-I Yukawa)



Joel Oredsson, Lund university

# Exact Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry (type-I Yukawa)

 $\rightarrow$  Large loop corrections for scalar masses.

See also [1711.08460, 1807.07581]



- → Large quartic couplings responsible, i.e. points break down fast in RG evolution.
- $\rightarrow$  Higher order quantum corrections vital in these regions.

#### Scenario II: Softly broken Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

# Softly broken Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

→ Soft Z<sub>2</sub> breaking opens up parameter space that is stable all the way to the Planck scale.

Softly broken Z<sub>2</sub>





#### Scenario III: Hard broken Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry in scalar sector

# Hard broken Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

 $\rightarrow$  Breaking the Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry hard by having non-zero  $\bar{\lambda}_6, \lambda_7$  makes it much harder to get "good" parameter points.

 $\rightarrow$  The symmetry of the Yukawa sector is lost in the RG evolution and non-diagonal FCNC are induced.



0.8

0.0

Joel Oredsson, Lund university

 $10^{11}$ 

 $-10^{10}$ 

⊢ 10<sup>9</sup>

 $10^{8}$ 

#### Scenario IV: Disalignment of Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry in Yukawa sector

# Yukawa breaking of Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

→ To measure Z<sub>2</sub> breaking in Yukawa sector. We set at top mass scale an (dis)alignment ansatz with real coefficients:

$$o^F = a^F \kappa^F$$

 $\rightarrow$  Starting from a softly broken Z<sub>2</sub> parameter point that is valid all the way to the Planck scale, we vary each  $a^F$  independently.



# Yukawa breaking of Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

#### $\rightarrow$ Down sector:



# Yukawa breaking of Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry

#### → Lepton sector:



# Conclusions

- $\rightarrow$  Derived and implemented 2-loop RGEs for the general, potentially complex, 2HDM.
- → Investigated the parameter space of a CP conserving 2HDM with different levels of Z₂ breaking in the scalar sector.
  - $\rightarrow$  The scenario of an exact Z<sub>2</sub> is very constrained.
  - → Breaking the Z₂ symmetry softly opens up parameter space that is valid to higher energies, even all the way to the Planck scale.
  - → Hard breaking in the scalar sector spreads fast unless some sort of fine-tuning is present.
    - → Even though the non-zero  $\lambda_6, \lambda_7$  induces non-diagonal FCNCs in RG running, the problems in the scalar sector are more urgent.
- $\rightarrow$  A Z<sub>2</sub> breaking Yukawa sector generates non-zero  $\lambda_6, \lambda_7$  already at 1-loop order.
  - → The up sector is sensitive and generates non-trivial  $\lambda_6, \lambda_7$  that decreases the energy range of parameter points substantially.
  - → One could allow for some misalignment in the down/lepton sector, in that one could still have a "good" scalar potential at all energies, but one generates non-trivial FCNCs.

## Thank you for your attention!

# Backup: Example parameter point

 $\rightarrow$  RG evolution of example parameter point used in Yukawa (dis)alignment scan.



#### Backup: Higgs basis, exact Z<sub>2</sub> symmetry



Joel Oredsson, Lund university

#### Backup: Higgs basis, softly broken Z<sub>2</sub>



Joel Oredsson, Lund university

#### Backup: Higgs basis, hard broken Z<sub>2</sub>



Joel Oredsson, Lund university