



Norwegian University of  
Science and Technology

# LIE ALGEBRA REPRESENTATIONS AND SYMMETRIES OF NHDM POTENTIALS

Marius Solberg

Workshop on Multi-Higgs Models,  
Lisboa, September 04, 2024.

# Overview

- ▶ Based on (both papers co-authored with Robin Plantey):
- ▶ *Computable conditions for **order-2 CP** symmetry in NHDM potentials*, JHEP 05 (2024) 260, [arXiv:2404.02004](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02004)
- ▶ *Representation-theoretical characterization of **canonical custodial symmetry** in NHDM potentials*, Nuclear Physics B, Volume 1006, 2024, 116650, [arXiv:2407.05085](https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.05085)
- ▶ We will show how it is possible to **decide** whether or not a **NHDM potential** has an **order-2 CP symmetry** (CP2) or a **canonical custodial symmetry** (CS).
- ▶ Done by detecting the **defining representation** of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  for CP2, and **certain bases** of the defining representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  for CS.

# Bilinear formalism

- ▶ The **general NHDM potential** may be written<sup>1</sup>

$$V = M_0 K_0 + M_a K_a + \Lambda_0 K_0^2 + L_a K_0 K_a + \Lambda_{ab} K_a K_b, \quad (1)$$

- ▶ where the  $N^2$  linearly independent **bilinears** can be written

$$K_0 = \Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_i, \quad K_a = \Phi_i^\dagger (\lambda_a)_{ij} \Phi_j. \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda_\alpha$  are **generalized Gell-Mann matrices**.

- ▶ The  $M$ 's,  $L$ 's and  $\Lambda$ 's are parameters.
- ▶ We **define** the **GM matrices**  $\lambda_\alpha$  such that the  $k \equiv N(N-1)/2$  **antisymmetric** matrices are ordered **first**.

---

<sup>1</sup>M. Maniatis and O. Nachtmann, [arXiv:1504.01736](https://arxiv.org/abs/1504.01736)

# Bilinear formalism

- ▶ ..In a way giving a **lexicographic order** of the **doublets** in the  $k$  first **bilinears**:

$$\{K_a\}_{a=1}^k = 2\{\widehat{C}_{12}, \widehat{C}_{13}, \dots, \widehat{C}_{1N}, \widehat{C}_{23}, \dots, \widehat{C}_{2N}, \widehat{C}_{34}, \dots, \dots, \widehat{C}_{N-1,N}\}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\widehat{C}_{mn} \equiv \text{Im}(\Phi_m^\dagger \Phi_n). \quad (4)$$

- ▶ The **general NHDM potential**  $V$ :

$$V = M_0 K_0 + M_a K_a + \Lambda_0 K_0^2 + L_a K_0 K_a + \Lambda_{ab} K_a K_b, \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Under a Higgs **basis shift**  $\Phi_i \rightarrow \Phi'_i = U_{ij} \Phi_j$ ,  $U \in SU(N)$ ,  $V$  **transforms** as

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &\rightarrow M_0, & \Lambda_0 &\rightarrow \Lambda_0, \\ M &\rightarrow R(U)M, & L &\rightarrow R(U)L, \\ \Lambda &\rightarrow \Lambda' = R(U)\Lambda R^T(U), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

- ▶ where  $R(U) \in \text{Ad}_{SU(N)} \subset SO(N^2 - 1)$  is given by

$$U^\dagger \lambda_a U = R_{ab}(U) \lambda_b. \quad (6)$$

- ▶  $M$  and  $L$  are examples of "**adjoint vectors**" since they **transform** as **vectors** under the **adjoint representation**  $\text{Ad}_{SU(N)}$ .
- ▶  $\Lambda$  also consists of **adjoint vectors** (its eigenvectors) through its **spectral decomposition** (eigensystem expansion).

# Adjoint vectors and F-product

- ▶ Adjoint vectors are connected to the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{su}(N)$  through the map

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega : \mathbb{R}^{N^2-1} &\rightarrow \mathfrak{su}(N) \\ a &\mapsto a_i \lambda_i.\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

- ▶  $\Omega$  is an Lie algebra isomorphism when  $\mathbb{R}^{N^2-1}$  is equipped with the F-product<sup>2</sup>

$$\begin{aligned}F : \mathbb{R}^{N^2-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N^2-1} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N^2-1} \\ (a, b) &\mapsto f_{ijk} a_i b_j \equiv F_k^{(a,b)}\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where  $f_{ijk}$  are the structure constants of  $\mathfrak{su}(N)$  (in GM basis).

---

<sup>2</sup>I. de Medeiros Varzielas and I. P. Ivanov, [arXiv:1903.11110](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.11110)

# F-product

- ▶ Let  $X \equiv \Omega(x) = x_i \lambda_i$ , then

$$F^{(a,b)} = c \iff [A, B] = 2iC. \quad (9)$$

- ▶ Hence, **F-product relations** are **invariant** under Higgs **basis shifts**,

$$F^{(a,b)} = c \iff F^{(a',b')} = c', \quad (10)$$

where  $x' = R(U)x$ .

- ▶ A potential has an **order-2 CP** (CP2) if and only if it has a **real basis**<sup>3</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  a basis where  $\Lambda$  is of the **block diagonal** form

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} C_N & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_N \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where  $C_N$  and  $A_N$  are arbitrary real and symmetric  $k \times k$  and  $(N^2 - 1 - k) \times (N^2 - 1 - k)$  matrices, with  $k \equiv N(N - 1)/2$ .

- ▶ Means that the  **$k$  eigenvectors**  $t_a$  corresponding to  $C_N$  generates the **def. rep. of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$**  through

$$\text{span}\{(t_a)_b \lambda_b\}_{a=1}^k = \text{span}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = \mathfrak{so}(N), \quad (12)$$

since  $(t_a)_b = 0$  for  $b > k$ , where  $\lambda_b$  are the generalized GM-matrices.

---

<sup>3</sup>J. F. Gunion and H. E. Haber, [arXiv:0506227](https://arxiv.org/abs/0506227)



- ▶ The **def. rep. of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$**  here is **conserved** by **Higgs basis shifts**:
- ▶ I.e. a **Higgs basis shift  $U \in SU(N)$**   $\Rightarrow$

$$t_a \rightarrow v_a = R(U)t_a \quad (13)$$

$\Rightarrow \text{span}\{V_a\}_{a=1}^k$  is **equivalent** to the **def. rep. of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$** .

- ▶ Moreover, **real basis**  $\Rightarrow$

$$L \cdot t_a = M \cdot t_a = 0 \quad \forall a \leq k \quad (14)$$

since  $k$  first elements of  $L$  and  $M$  are inducing **complex parameters** in  $V$ .

# Main result, CP2

A NHDM potential is CP2-symmetric if and only if<sup>4</sup>

1.  $k = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  of  $\Lambda$ 's eigenvectors,  $\{v_a\}_{a=1}^k$ , form a basis for the defining representation of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$
2.  $L \cdot v_a = M \cdot v_a = 0$ ,  $\forall a \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  ("LM-orthogonality").
  - ▶ The two conditions can be checked in any Higgs basis:
    - (a) First by checking we have at least  $k$  LM-orthogonal eigenvectors,
    - (b) then (if necessary) check if  $k$  LM-orthogonal eigenvectors form an algebra,
    - (c) check if the algebra is  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ ,
    - (d) check if it is the defining representation  $\mathbf{N}$  of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ .

---

<sup>4</sup> $N = 3$  was solved in [arXiv:0605153](https://arxiv.org/abs/0605153) by C. C. Nishi

# Do $k$ LM-orth. eigenvectors give an algebra?

- ▶ Set of  $k = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$  eigenvectors of  $\Lambda$  closes under F-product (i.e. commutator)  $\Rightarrow$  algebra.
- ▶ One can in most cases avoid to blindly check the closure of all (max)  $\binom{N^2-1}{k} \sim \frac{2^{N^2}}{\sqrt{2e\pi N}}$  sets of  $k$  eigenvectors:
- ▶ Done by calculating the structure constants  $Z_{abc}$  of  $\mathfrak{su}(N)$  in the basis given by the eigenvectors of  $\Lambda$ .
- ▶  $Z$  must be sparse for  $k$  eigenvecs that generate a subalgebra  $\Rightarrow$  we can usually dismiss a lot of candidate eigenvecs at "a glance".
- ▶ Eigenvalue degeneracies  $\Rightarrow$  any linear combination from each eigenspace must be checked  $\Rightarrow$  Numerical methods similar to CS?

## Which algebra, $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ ?

- ▶ In case we have a  $k$  dimensional algebra, is it  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ ?
- ▶ If  $N$  is **even** and the **rank**  $r = N/2 \leq 11$ , then  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  is the **only possible** algebra (cf. subalgebra tables).
- ▶ If  $N$  is **odd** and  $r = (N - 1)/2$  we have to calculate the **root system** to check if we have  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  (=linear algebra).

# Which representation of $\mathfrak{so}(N)$ ?

- ▶ The **defining rep.  $\mathbf{N}$**  of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  is the **only  $N$ -dimensional faithful rep.** of  $\mathfrak{so}(N)$  in  $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ , with only some **low  $N$  exceptions**:

Dimension	Representation
$N = 3$	$\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{1}$
$N = 4$	$\mathbf{2} + \mathbf{2}'$
$N = 5$	$\mathbf{4} + \mathbf{1}$
$N = 6$	$\mathbf{4} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}$ $\overline{\mathbf{4}} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}$
$N = 8$	$\mathbf{8}_s$ $\mathbf{8}_c$

- ▶ So if  $N \neq 3, 4, 5, 6, 8$  we can **conclude** we have  **$\mathbf{N}$**  and hence **CP2** symmetry, **otherwise**, we have to calculate the **highest weight** (=linear algebra).

# Canonical custodial symmetry

- ▶ Custodial  $SO(4)_C \simeq (SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R)/\mathbb{Z}_2 \supset SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  symmetry protects the  $\rho$  parameter

$$\rho = \frac{M_W^2}{M_Z^2 \cos^2 \vartheta_W} \approx 1 \quad (15)$$

from large radiative corrections.

- ▶ A **symmetry** of the **SM potential**, but **not necessarily** of the **NHDM potential**.

# Canonical custodial symmetry

- ▶ Canonical custodial symmetry (CS) implies<sup>5</sup> identical  $SU(2)_R$  action on all bidoublets in some doublet basis:

$$(i\sigma_2\phi_i^* \quad \phi_i) \equiv B_{ii} \rightarrow U_L B_{ii} U_R^\dagger, \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \quad (16)$$

- ▶ Some cases of non-canonical custodial symmetries are possible, through non-uniform  $SU(2)_R$  action.<sup>6</sup>

---

<sup>5</sup>C. C. Nishi, [arXiv:1103.0252](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.0252)

<sup>6</sup>A. Pilaftsis, [arXiv:1109.3787](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.3787); N. Darvishi, A. Pilaftsis, [arXiv:1912.00887](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.00887).

# Manifest CS

- ▶ **CS potentials** may be transformed to a **characteristic** block-diagonal **form**, similarly to CP2-symmetric potentials, where **CS** is **manifest**:

$$\Lambda_C = \begin{pmatrix} C_N & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & A_N \end{pmatrix} \quad (17)$$

- ▶ The "**custodial block**"  $C_N$  (a  $k \times k$  matrix,  $k = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$ ) was **arbitrary** in the case of **manifest CP2**, but is **severely restricted** in case of **manifest CS**.
- ▶  $C_N$  **generated** by **CS terms** of the form<sup>7</sup>

$$\lambda_{abcd} I_{abcd}^{(4)} = \lambda_{abcd} (\widehat{C}_{ab} \widehat{C}_{cd} + \widehat{C}_{ad} \widehat{C}_{bc} + \widehat{C}_{ac} \widehat{C}_{db}), \quad (18)$$

with  $\widehat{C}_{ij} \equiv \text{Im}(\Phi_i^\dagger \Phi_j)$

---

<sup>7</sup>C. C. Nishi, [arXiv:1103.0252](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.0252)



# Main result, CS

- ▶ Let  $N > 2$  and  $N \neq 8$ . Then a potential  $V$  is **custodial-symmetric**  $\iff$
- ▶  $\Lambda$  has  $k = N(N - 1)/2$  **LM-orthogonal normalized eigenvectors**  $v_a$ , with the **same eigenvalues** and **F-product relations** as the **normalized eigenvectors**  $t_a$  of some **instance** of the **custodial block**  $C_N$ .
- ▶ Only  $\Rightarrow$  holds if  $N = 8$ :
- ▶ Because "**trality**" yields **2 additional representations** of  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ , with the **same F-product relations** as the **defining rep.** of  $\mathfrak{so}(8)$ .
- ▶ We **apply** this result to get **computable conditions** for **CS** for  $N = 3, 4$  and  $5$ :<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup> $N = 3$  already solved in [arXiv:1103.0252](https://arxiv.org/abs/1103.0252) by C. C. Nishi

# Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 3$

- ▶ Custodial block

$$C_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (19)$$

- ▶ With simple, corresponding eigenvcs and eigenvalues

$$t_{ai} = \delta_{ai}, \quad \beta_a = 0, \quad a = 1, 2, 3. \quad (20)$$

- ▶ These normalized eigenvectors satisfy the F-product relations

$$2F^{(t_a, t_b)} = \epsilon_{abc} t_c \quad (21)$$

# Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 3$

- ▶  $\Leftrightarrow$  the associated matrices  $T_d \equiv (t_d)_e \lambda_e$  yield the **defining rep.**, i.e. the **3**, of  **$\mathfrak{so}(3)$** :

$$[T_a, T_b] = i\epsilon_{abc} T_c \quad (22)$$

- ▶ Note that the **2 + 1** of  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$  would have given a **prefactor 1 instead of 2** in (21),<sup>9</sup> i.e.

$$1 \cdot F^{(t_a, t_b)} = \epsilon_{abc} t_c \quad (23)$$

---

<sup>9</sup>I. de Medeiros Varzielas and I. P. Ivanov, [arXiv:1903.11110](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.11110)

# Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 4$

- ▶ Custodial block,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$C_4 = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (24)$$

## Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 4$

- ▶  $C_4$  has **eigenvectors** with **eigenvalues**  $\pm\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}t_1^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(+1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1, \mathbf{0}_9)^T \\t_2^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, +1, 0, 0, +1, 0, \mathbf{0}_9)^T \\t_3^+ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 0, -1, +1, 0, 0, \mathbf{0}_9)^T \\t_1^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(+1, 0, 0, 0, 0, +1, \mathbf{0}_9)^T \\t_2^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, +1, 0, 0, -1, 0, \mathbf{0}_9)^T \\t_3^- &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 0, +1, +1, 0, 0, \mathbf{0}_9)^T\end{aligned}\tag{25}$$

## Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 4$

- ▶ And one finds that they **satisfy** the  $\mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3)_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)_{-\alpha}$  **F-product relations**

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{2}F(t_a^\pm, t_b^\pm) &= \epsilon_{abc} t_c^\pm \\ F(t_a^\pm, t_b^\mp) &= 0\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

- ▶ We can **apply** this to **check** if an **arbitrary** 4HDM potential has **CS**.
- ▶ (26) is **independent of bases** of the  $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ 's, also when CS is **not manifest**.

## Extended eigenvalue degeneracies, $N = 4$

- ▶ In case of **extended degeneracies**, i.e. 4 or more eigenvcs with eigenvalue  $\pm\alpha$ , **numerical** methods have to be applied.
- ▶ We suggest a method based on **optimization** which **quickly solves** even the most extreme degeneracies.
- ▶ In case  $\alpha = 0$ , the **methods** from our **CP2-article** may be applied to detect the def. repr. of  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ .

## Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 5$

- ▶  $\binom{5}{4} = 5$  free parameters in  $C_5 \Rightarrow$  detection of CS **more difficult** as the **eigenvectors** of  $C_5$  are **not constant**, in contrast to  $N = 3, 4$ .
- ▶ However, we show  $C_5$  **always** can be **transformed** to

$$C_5 = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (27)$$

by a **rotation** of the doublets.

- ▶  $\Rightarrow$  **all instances** of CS for the **5HDM** are **equivalent** to (27).



## Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 5$

- ▶ **3+3 eigenvectors** of  $C_5$  corr. to **eigenvalues  $\pm\alpha$**  satisfy  $\mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{so}(3)_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3)_{-\alpha}$  F-products

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{2}F(t_a^\pm, t_b^\pm) &= \epsilon_{abc} t_c^\pm \\ F(t_a^\pm, t_b^\mp) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

- ▶ And together with the **4 nullvectors** of  $C_5$ , the eigenvecs of  $C_5$  generate the **def. repr. of  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$** .
- ▶ **F-products** involving **nullvecs** will depend on chosen **basis** of the nullvecs.

## Canonical custodial symmetry, $N = 5$

- ▶ May check if **10 candidate eigenvecs** generate a **subalgebra** (closes under F-products) by e.g. applying **projectors**.
- ▶ **Subalgebra tables** show the only **10d subalgebra** of  $\mathfrak{su}(5)$  containing  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$  is  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ .
- ▶ Finally, the **prefactor  $\sqrt{2}$**  in (28) ensures you have the **def. repr. of  $\mathfrak{so}(5)$** .
- ▶ Hence, the **incomplete F-product** relations (28) are **sufficient** to establish CS for  $N = 5$ .

## Extended eigenvalue degeneracies, $N = 5$

- ▶ **Extended degeneracies**, including the case  $\alpha = 0$ , may be handled **similarly** as for  $N = 4$ .
- ▶ We were able to **solve** the **worst degeneracy**, for **completely generic** numerical potentials, by numerically optimizing quartic polynomials of up to 90 variables (takes less than a **few minutes** on an ordinary desktop computer).
- ▶ For  $N > 5$  the **eigenvalue pattern** essentially **fades away**, which makes it **more difficult** to decide whether or not a potential has a CS.