# Light states in real multi-Higgs models with spontaneous CP violation

Miguel Nebot

#### U. of Valencia – IFIC











Miguel Nebot Light states in real nHDM with SCPV



# Motivation

- 2HDM with SCPV sourcing all CP violation
  - phenomenologically viable, including realistic CKM and SFCNC under control
  - masses of the new scalars all bounded (from above) owing to perturbativity requirements on the quartic couplings in the scalar potential

MN, F.J. Botella & G. Branco,

```
🔤 arXiv:1808.00493, EPJC79 (2019)
```

```
    General real* 2HDM with SCPV and bounded masses

            (+ some peculiarity)
            MN,
```

🚾 arXiv:1911.02266, PRD102 (2020)

■ Is some of this carried over to the real nHDM with SCPV?

\*Invariant lagrangian under  $\Phi \mapsto \Phi^*$ .

# Motivation

- In the 2HDM the point is that the stationarity conditions allow to trade all 3 quadratic couplings in the potential for quartics (× vacuum expectation values).
- $\blacksquare$  Pessimistic prospects: for *n*HDM, "free" quadratic couplings can drive large masses<sup>\*</sup>.
- In fact the number of quadratic couplings scales with  $n^2$  while the number of stationarity conditions scales with n: is that the end of it? No, as I will try to show in the following.

\*Except for "the Higgs"

## Outline

- **1** Real 2HDM with SCPV
- 2 Real nHDM with SCPV, numerical phenomenology
- **3** Real nHDM with SCPV, analysis

Work in progress in collaboration with: Carlos Miró & Daniel Queiroz

arXiv:2409.nnnnn

The scalar potential

$$\begin{split} V(\Phi_1, \Phi_2) &= \mu_1^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \mu_2^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \mu_{12}^2 \mathcal{H}_{12} + \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 \\ &+ \lambda_{1,2} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) + \lambda_{1,12} (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1) \mathcal{H}_{12} + \lambda_{2,12} (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2) \mathcal{H}_{12} \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} \mathcal{H}_{12}^2 + \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_{12}^2 \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right) \qquad \mathcal{A}_{12} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 - \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \right)$$

All  $\mu_a^2$ ,  $\mu_{12}^2$ ,  $\lambda_a$ ,  $\lambda_{1,2}$ ,  $\lambda_{a,12}$ ,  $\lambda_{12,12}$ ,  $\lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}}$  real Field expansions

$$\Phi_{a} = \frac{e^{i\theta_{a}}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2}\mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \\ v_{a} + \mathbf{R}_{a} + i\mathbf{I}_{a} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \langle \Phi_{a} \rangle = \frac{e^{i\theta_{a}}v_{a}}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Scalar potential

$$V(v_a, \theta_a) = V(\langle \Phi_1 \rangle, \langle \Phi_2 \rangle)$$

with  $\langle \Phi_1 \rangle, \, \langle \Phi_2 \rangle :$ 

$$\Phi_a^{\dagger} \Phi_a \to \frac{v_a^2}{2}, \quad \mathcal{H}_{12} \to \frac{c_{12}v_1v_2}{2}, \quad \mathcal{A}_{12} \to -i\frac{s_{12}v_1v_2}{2}$$

where  $c_{12} \equiv \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$  and  $s_{12} \equiv \sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{V}(v_a,\theta_a) &= \mu_1^2 \frac{v_1^2}{2} + \mu_2^2 \frac{v_2^2}{2} + \mu_{12}^2 \frac{c_{12}v_1v_2}{2} + \lambda_1 \frac{v_1^4}{4} + \lambda_2 \frac{v_2^4}{4} + \lambda_{1,2} \frac{v_1^2v_2^2}{4} \\ &+ \lambda_{1,12} \frac{c_{12}v_1^3v_2}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{c_{12}v_1v_2^3}{4} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12}^2v_1^2v_2^2}{4} - \lambda_{12,12}^4 \frac{s_{12}^2v_1^2v_2^2}{4} \end{aligned}$$

And now stationarity conditions  $\partial_{v_a} \mathbf{V} = \partial_{\theta_a} \mathbf{V} = 0$ 

Stationarity conditions  $\partial_{v_a} \mathbf{V} = \partial_{\theta_a} \mathbf{V} = 0$ 

$$\begin{split} \partial_{v_1} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_1^2 v_1 + \mu_{12}^2 \frac{c_{12} v_2}{2} + \lambda_1 v_1^3 + \lambda_{1,2} \frac{v_1 v_2^2}{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{1,12} \frac{3c_{12} v_1^2 v_2}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{c_{12} v_2^3}{4} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12}^2 v_1 v_2^2}{2} - \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \frac{s_{12}^2 v_1 v_2^2}{2} \\ \partial_{v_2} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_2^2 v_2 + \mu_{12}^2 \frac{c_{12} v_1}{2} + \lambda_2 v_2^3 + \lambda_{1,2} \frac{v_1^2 v_2}{2} \\ &+ \lambda_{1,12} \frac{c_{12} v_1^3}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{3c_{12} v_1 v_2^2}{4} + \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2}{2} - \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \frac{s_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2}{2} \\ \partial_{\theta_2} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_{12}^2 \frac{s_{12} v_1 v_2}{2} + \lambda_{1,12} \frac{s_{12} v_1^3 v_2}{4} + \lambda_{2,12} \frac{s_{12} v_1 v_2^3}{4} \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} \frac{c_{12} s_{12} v_1^2 v_2^2}{2} + \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \frac{c_{12} s_{12} v_1^2 v_2^2}{2} = -\partial_{\theta_1} \mathbf{V} \end{split}$$

Solve  $\partial_{\theta_2} V = 0$  for  $\mu_{12}^2$ ,  $\partial_{v_1} V = 0$  for  $\mu_1^2$  and  $\partial_{v_2} V = 0$  for  $\mu_2^2$ ... no quadratic couplings left!

#### Mass matrices

$$(M_{\pm}^{2})_{a,b} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial C_{a}^{+}\partial C_{b}^{-}}\right],$$
  

$$(M_{0}^{2})_{a,b} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial R_{a}\partial R_{b}}\right], \quad (M_{0}^{2})_{n+a,n+b} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial I_{a}\partial I_{b}}\right],$$
  

$$(M_{0}^{2})_{a,n+b} = (M_{0}^{2})_{n+b,a} = \left[\frac{\partial^{2}V}{\partial R_{a}\partial I_{b}}\right]$$

[f]: f evaluated at vanishing fields  $C_a^{\pm}, R_a, I_a \rightarrow 0$ 

The mass matrix of the charged scalars is amiable

$$M_{\pm}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} \begin{pmatrix} v_{2}^{2} & -v_{1}v_{2} \\ -v_{1}v_{2} & v_{1}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

Rotation into "the" Higgs basis  $(v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2})$ 

$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm Ch} = \frac{1}{v} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 \\ -v_2 & v_1 \end{pmatrix},$$
$$\mathcal{R}_{\rm Ch} M_{\pm}^2 \mathcal{R}_{\rm Ch}^T = \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}} v^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

As expected

- one massless Goldstone  $G^{\pm}$
- and one charged scalar with mass<sup>2</sup> =  $\frac{1}{2}\lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}}v^2$ , which is bounded by perturbativity constraints on  $\lambda_{12,12}^{\mathcal{A}}$

The mass matrix of the neutral scalars is less a miable Rotation into "the" Higgs basis ( $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$ )

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{N}} &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Ch}} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Ch}} \end{pmatrix}, \\ M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2 &= \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{N}} M_0^2 \, \mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{N}}^T \end{split}$$

with

$$M_{0,\rm HB}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \times & \times & 0 & \times \\ \times & \times & 0 & \times \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \times & \times & 0 & \times \end{pmatrix}$$

As expected

- one massless Goldstone  $G^0$
- **3** neutral scalars with bounded masses from bounded  $\lambda$ 's

The mass matrix of the neutral scalars is less amiable

$$\begin{split} (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{11} &= \frac{2}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 v_1^4 + \lambda_2 v_2^4 + \lambda_{1,2} v_1^2 v_2^2 + c_{12} (\lambda_{1,12} v_1^3 v_2 + \lambda_{2,12} v_1 v_2^3) \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} c_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2^2 - \lambda_{12,12}^4 s_{12}^2 v_1^2 v_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{22} &= \frac{2}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_{1,2}) v_1^2 v_2^2 + c_{12} (\lambda_{2,12} - \lambda_{1,12}) v_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} c_{12}^2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + \lambda_{12,12}^4 (c_{12}^{22} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)^2 + v_1^2 v_2^2) \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{12} &= \frac{1}{v^2} \begin{pmatrix} (2\lambda_2 v_2^2 - 2\lambda_1 v_1^2 + \lambda_{1,2} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)) v_1 v_2 \\ &+ \frac{c_{12}}{2} (\lambda_{1,12} v_1^2 (v_1^2 - 3 v_2^2) - \lambda_{2,12} v_2^2 (v_2^2 - 3 v_1^2)) \\ &+ \lambda_{12,12} c_{12}^2 v_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) - \lambda_{12,12}^2 s_{12}^2 v_1 v_2 (v_1^2 - v_2^2) \end{pmatrix} \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{14} &= \frac{s_{12}}{2} (\lambda_{1,12} v_1^2 + \lambda_{2,12} v_2^2 + 2(\lambda_{12,12} + \lambda_{12,12}^4) c_{12} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)) \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{24} &= \frac{s_{12}}{2} ((\lambda_{2,12} - \lambda_{1,12}) v_1 v_2 + (\lambda_{12,12} + \lambda_{12,12}^4) c_{12} (v_1^2 - v_2^2)) \\ (M_{0,\mathrm{HB}}^2)_{44} &= v^2 \frac{s_{12}^2}{2} (\lambda_{12,12} + \lambda_{12,12}^4) \end{split}$$

Miguel Nebot

Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

One "peculiarity": when  $c_{12} \rightarrow 1$  and  $s_{12} \rightarrow 0$ 

Two massive (bounded) scalars and one massless pseudoscalar

Recap

- Through stationarity conditions all 3 quadratic couplings in the potential traded for quartics (and vevs)
- ⇒ new scalars have bounded masses through perturbativity bounds on quartic couplings
- $\blacksquare$  extra ball: massless pseudoscalar for  $s_{12} \rightarrow 0$

Real nHDM scalar potential

$$V(\Phi_{a}) = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_{a}^{2} \Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a} + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} \mathcal{H}_{ab} + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \lambda_{a} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a})^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,b} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) (\Phi_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b}) + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{c=b+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,bc} (\Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a}) \mathcal{H}_{bc}$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \frac{\lambda_{ab,cd}}{(a,b) \leq (c,d)} \mathcal{H}_{ab} \mathcal{H}_{cd} \right|$$

$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \frac{\lambda_{ab,cd}}{A_{ab,cd}} \mathcal{H}_{ab} \mathcal{H}_{cd} \right|$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b} + \Phi_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a} \right) \qquad \mathcal{A}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Phi_{a}^{\dagger} \Phi_{b} - \Phi_{b}^{\dagger} \Phi_{a} \right)$$

$$\mu_{a}^{2}, \mu_{ab}^{2}, \lambda_{a}, \lambda_{a,b}, \lambda_{a,bc}, \lambda_{ab,cd}, \lambda_{ab,cd}^{\mathcal{A}} \text{ real, CP invariant } (\Phi_{a} \mapsto \Phi_{a}^{*})$$

Miguel Nebot Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

- Quadratic couplings:  $n \mu_a^2 + n(n-1)/2 \mu_{ab}^2$ : n(n+1)/2
- Stationarity conditions: 2n 1
- Omitting Goldstones, n-1 charged and 2n-1 neutral scalars

n	2	3	4	5	6	7
n(n+1)/2	3	6	10	15	21	28
2n - 1	3	5	7	9	11	13
(n-1)(n-2)/2	0	1	3	6	10	15

- Quadratic couplings in excess of the number of stationarity conditions + the number of (neutral) scalars
- Can they make all (new) scalar masses  $\gg v$ ?
- Let us do some numerical exercise

Starting with the scalar potential for the real nHDM, with a given n:

- Compute 2n 1 stationarity conditions
- Trade 2n 1 quadratic couplings for quartic

and other quadratic couplings

- Compute mass matrices
- $\blacksquare$  Generate random numerical quartics, free quadratics,  $v_a$  and  $\theta_a$
- Compute eigenvalues of mass matrices

Real *n*HDM scalar potential,  $V(v_a, \theta_a) = V(\langle \Phi_a \rangle)$ 

$$4V(v_{a}, \theta_{a}) = 2\sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_{a}^{2} v_{a}^{2} + 2\sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} c_{ab} v_{a} v_{b} + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \lambda_{a} v_{a}^{4}$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,b} v_{a}^{2} v_{b}^{2} + \sum_{a=1}^{n} \sum_{b=1}^{n-1} \sum_{c=b+1}^{n} \lambda_{a,bc} c_{bc} v_{a}^{2} v_{b} v_{c}$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \frac{\lambda_{ab,cd} c_{ab} c_{cd} v_{a} v_{b} v_{c} v_{d}}{(a,b) \leq (c,d)} \right|$$
$$+ \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \sum_{c=1}^{n-1} \sum_{d=c+1}^{n} \left| \frac{\lambda_{ab,cd}^{A} c_{ab} s_{cd} v_{a} v_{b} v_{c} v_{d}}{(a,b) \leq (c,d)} \right|$$
$$\text{where } c_{ab} = \cos(\theta_{a} - \theta_{b}), \ s_{ab} = \sin(\theta_{a} - \theta_{b})$$

Stationarity conditions (focus on quadratics)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathbf{V} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 s_{1b} v_1 v_b + \text{Quartics} \\ \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 s_{aj} v_a v_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 s_{jb} v_j v_b + \text{Quartics} \\ \partial_{\theta_n} \mathbf{V} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 s_{an} v_a v_n + \text{Quartics} \\ \text{N.B.} \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Trade all  $\mu_{1i}^2$  for other quadratics and quartics

Stationarity conditions (focus on quadratics)

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{v_1} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_1^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 c_{1b} v_b + \text{Quartics} \\ \partial_{v_j} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_j^2 v_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 c_{aj} v_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 c_{jb} v_b + \text{Quartics} \\ \partial_{v_n} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_n^2 v_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 c_{an} v_a + \text{Quartics} \end{aligned}$$

Trade all  $\mu_j^2$  for other quadratics and quartics  $\Rightarrow$  all  $n \ \mu_a^2$ 's and all  $n - 1 \ \mu_{1j}^2$  quadratics removed, we are left with (n-1)(n-2)/2 quadratics  $\mu_{ab}^2 \ a \ge 2, \ b > a$ 

Numerical generation

- Random  $\mu_{ab}^2 \in [-1;+1] \times k_\mu \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV}^2 \ (a \geq 2, \ b > a)$
- **Random**  $\lambda_a, \lambda_{a,b}, \lambda_{a,bc}, \lambda_{ab,cd}, \lambda_{ab,cd}^{\mathcal{A}} \in [-1;+1] \times k_{\lambda}$
- Random  $v_a (v_1^2 + \ldots + v_n^2 = v^2 = 246^2 \text{ GeV}^2)$
- **Random**  $\theta_a \in [-\pi; +\pi]$
- Discard cases in which the stationarity conditions yield quadratics outside  $[-1;+1] \times k_{\mu} \times 10^{10} \text{ GeV}^2$
- Order eigenvalues according to their absolute values
- No requirement on positivity of the eigenvalues (local minimum)
- No requirement on boundedness from below of the potential\*
- Repeat and keep the largest value of each |eigenvalue|
- Results in the following plots

\*No need to sound the alarm because of the absence of these two requirements



- One light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  state, sensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , insensitive to  $k_{\mu}$
- n-2 heavy states, insensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , sensitive to  $k_{\mu}$



- Numerical zero Goldstone
- Three light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  states, sensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , insensitive to  $k_{\mu}$
- 2n-4 heavy states, insensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , sensitive to  $k_{\mu}$



• One of the three light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  states becomes much lighter!

Neutral mass matrix

Recap

- As expected, "numerical massless" Goldstone
- As expected, heavy states, insensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , sensitive to  $k_{\mu}$
- Unexpected, light  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  states, sensitive to  $k_{\lambda}$ , insensitive to  $k_{\mu}$ how can they ignore  $\mu_{ch}^2 \gg v^2$ ?
- $\blacksquare$  Unexpected extra ball, one much lighter neutral state when  $|\sin\theta_a|\ll 1$

Short of analytic black sorcery  $\bigstar$ ,

how do we gain understanding of what is at work?

- Consider the limit where all the quartic couplings are negligible with respect to the quadratic ones
- In particular: what about null eigenvectors of the mass matrices in that regime?
- Then, treat quartic couplings as a perturbation

$$V(\Phi_a) \rightarrow V_2(\Phi_a) = \sum_{a=1}^n \mu_a^2 \Phi_a^{\dagger} \Phi_a + \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^n \mu_{ab}^2 \mathcal{H}_{ab}$$

 $\bigstar$  Obtain the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the mass matrices for generic n

Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

Miguel Nebot

Stationarity conditions (again, need them soon)

$$\begin{split} \partial_{\theta_1} \mathbf{V} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 s_{1b} v_1 v_b \\ \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 s_{aj} v_a v_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 s_{jb} v_j v_b \\ \partial_{\theta_n} \mathbf{V} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 s_{an} v_a v_n \qquad \text{N.B.} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{\theta_j} \mathbf{V} = 0 \\ \partial_{v_1} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_1^2 v_1 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=2}^n \mu_{1b}^2 c_{1b} v_b \\ \partial_{v_j} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_j^2 v_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{j-1} \mu_{aj}^2 c_{aj} v_a + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=j+1}^n \mu_{jb}^2 c_{jb} v_b \\ \partial_{v_n} \mathbf{V} &= \mu_n^2 v_n + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \mu_{an}^2 c_{an} v_a \end{split}$$

Miguel Nebot Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

Read out mass terms

$$V_{2}(\Phi_{a})\big|_{\dim=2} = \left(\mathbf{C}_{1}^{-}\dots\mathbf{C}_{n}^{-}\right) M_{\pm}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{C}_{1}^{+} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{C}_{n}^{+} \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{R}_{1}\dots\mathbf{R}_{n} \mathbf{I}_{1}\dots\mathbf{I}_{n}\right) M_{0}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{R}_{n} \\ \mathbf{I}_{1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{I}_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} V_{2}(\Phi_{a})\Big|_{\dim=2} &= \sum_{a=1}^{n} \mu_{a}^{2} \left( \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} + \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{R}_{a}^{2} + \mathbf{I}_{a}^{2} \right] \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} \left( \frac{c_{ab} \left[ \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{b}^{+} + \mathbf{C}_{b}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \right] - i s_{ab} \left[ \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{b}^{+} - \mathbf{C}_{b}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \right] \right) \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \sum_{b=a+1}^{n} \mu_{ab}^{2} \left( \frac{c_{ab} \left[ \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{b}^{+} + \mathbf{C}_{b}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \right] - i s_{ab} \left[ \mathbf{C}_{a}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{b}^{+} - \mathbf{C}_{b}^{-} \mathbf{C}_{a}^{+} \right] \right) \end{split}$$

Miguel Nebot Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

Mass matrices,  $a, b = 1, \dots, n$ 

$$\begin{split} &(M_{\pm}^{2})_{aa} = \mu_{a}^{2} \\ &(M_{\pm}^{2})_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{ab}^{2}(c_{ab} - is_{ab}) \quad (M_{\pm}^{2})_{ba} = (M_{\pm}^{2})_{ab}^{*} \quad a > b \\ &(M_{0}^{2})_{a,a} = \mu_{a}^{2} \\ &(M_{0}^{2})_{a,b} = (M_{0}^{2})_{b,a} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{ab}^{2}c_{ab}, \quad a > b \\ &(M_{0}^{2})_{n+a,a} = (M_{0}^{2})_{a,n+a} = 0 \\ &(M_{0}^{2})_{n+a,b} = (M_{0}^{2})_{b,n+a} = -(M_{0}^{2})_{a,n+b} = -(M_{0}^{2})_{n+b,a} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{ab}^{2}s_{ab}, \quad a > b \\ &(M_{0}^{2})_{n+a,n+a} = \mu_{a}^{2} \\ &(M_{0}^{2})_{n+a,n+b} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{ab}^{2}c_{ab}, \quad a > b \end{split}$$

Mass matrices,  $\theta_{ab} \equiv \theta_a - \theta_b$ 

$$M_{\pm}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_{1}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^{2} & \mu_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^{2} & \mu_{n}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$M_{0}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \\ -\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) & \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)^{T} = \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \\ \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)^{T} = -\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right) \end{cases}$$

Null eigenvector  $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n$  of  $M^2_{\pm}$ 

$$M_{\pm}^2 \vec{u} = \vec{0}_n$$

Multi-Higgs 2024

Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

#### Real nHDM with SCPV – no quartics

One can read  $M_{\pm}^2\,\vec{u}=\vec{0}_n$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \operatorname{Re} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) + i \operatorname{Im} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \right) \left( \operatorname{Re} \left( \vec{u} \right) + i \operatorname{Im} \left( \vec{u} \right) \right) &= \\ \operatorname{Re} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \vec{u} \right) - \operatorname{Im} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left( \vec{u} \right) \\ &+ i \left( \operatorname{Im} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Re} \left( \vec{u} \right) + \operatorname{Re} \left( M_{\pm}^{2} \right) \operatorname{Im} \left( \vec{u} \right) \right) = \vec{0}_{n} \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(\vec{u}\right) - \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Im}\left(\vec{u}\right) = \vec{0}_{n}$$
$$\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Re}\left(\vec{u}\right) + \operatorname{Re}\left(M_{\pm}^{2}\right)\operatorname{Im}\left(\vec{u}\right) = \vec{0}_{n}$$

which means

$$\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \\ -\operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} (\vec{u}) \\ -\operatorname{Im} (\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_{n} \\ \vec{0}_{n} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \\ -\operatorname{Im} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} & \operatorname{Re} \begin{pmatrix} M_{\pm}^{2} \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im} (\vec{u}) \\ \operatorname{Re} (\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{0}_{n} \\ \vec{0}_{n} \end{pmatrix}$$

Miguel Nebot Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{If there is a null eigenvector } \vec{u} \in \mathbb{C}^n \text{ of } M_{\pm}^2 \\ \Rightarrow \text{ two null eigenvectors } \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\vec{u}) \\ -\operatorname{Im}(\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Im}(\vec{u}) \\ \operatorname{Re}(\vec{u}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} \text{ of } M_0^2 \\ \end{array} \\ \text{ we already know a null eigenvector } \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \text{ of } M_{\pm}^2, \\ \text{ corresponding to the charged Goldstone} \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^2 & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2 \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^2 & \mu_2^2 & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{2n}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 & \mu_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \\ v_n \end{pmatrix} \\ = \\ \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^2v_1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 & \mu_n^2 \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2 & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{1j-1}^2v_{j-1} + \mu_2^2v_j + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2v_n \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^2v_1 + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^2v_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^2 + \mu_n^2v_n \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ \end{array}$$

• which, of course, looks suspiciously familiar

$$M_{\pm}^{2} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ \vdots \\ v_{n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{v_{1}} \mathbf{V}_{2} + i \partial_{\theta_{1}} \mathbf{V}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{v_{j}} \mathbf{V}_{2} + i \partial_{\theta_{j}} \mathbf{V}_{2} \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{v_{n}} \mathbf{V}_{2} + i \partial_{\theta_{n}} \mathbf{V}_{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

... but we already knew about the charged Goldstone

■ In the neutral sector it gives the neutral Goldstone

and "the Higgs"

- Can we find another null eigenvector?
- Stare intensely at  $M_{\pm}^2 \dots$

H there is another simple null eigenvector!

$$\vec{u}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\theta_1}v_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_j}v_j \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_n}v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \blacksquare \text{Check:} \\ \mu_{1}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{12}}\mu_{12}^{2} & \mu_{2}^{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^{2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{1n}}\mu_{1n}^{2} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{2n}}\mu_{2n}^{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\theta_{n-1n}}\mu_{n-1n}^{2} & \mu_{n}^{2} \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\theta_{1}}v_{1} \\ e^{i2\theta_{2}}v_{2} \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_{n-1}}v_{n-1} \\ e^{i2\theta_{n}}v_{n} \\ \end{pmatrix} \\ M_{\pm}^{2}\vec{u}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\theta_{1}}(\partial_{v_{1}}V_{2} - i\partial_{\theta_{1}}V_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_{j}}(\partial_{v_{j}}V_{2} - i\partial_{\theta_{j}}V_{2}) \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_{n}}(\partial_{v_{n}}V_{2} - i\partial_{\theta_{n}}V_{2}) \end{pmatrix} = \vec{O}_{n} \quad \checkmark$$

Multi-Higgs 2024

Miguel Nebot

Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

Null eigenvectors of mass matrices with no quartics in  ${\cal V}$ 

Charged

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} e^{i2\theta_1}v_1 \\ \vdots \\ e^{i2\theta_n}v_n \end{pmatrix}$$

Neutral

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \cos 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \cos 2\theta_n \\ -v_1 \sin 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \sin 2\theta_n \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \sin 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \sin 2\theta_n \\ v_1 \cos 2\theta_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \cos 2\theta_n \end{pmatrix},$$

Not orthogonal! But no problem, one can always orthonormalize

# Real nHDM with SCPV, analysis

- For the complete problem, reintroduce quartics as a perturbation (degenerate perturbation theory)
- Goldstones remain Goldstones
- One charged and three neutral scalars get masses  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  (as the numerical exercise hinted)

# Conclusions

- $\blacksquare$  Real 2HDM with SCPV is peculiar: bounded spectrum
  - $\blacksquare$  stationarity conditions remove all quadratic couplings in V
  - (quartics bounded by perturbativity considerations)
- $\blacksquare$  Real *n*HDM with SCPV
  - $\blacksquare$  stationarity conditions cannot remove all quadratic couplings in V
  - "overabundance" of free quadratic couplings
  - one could have expected that besides "the Higgs" (+ Goldstones), all scalars could have large masses
  - ... but that is not the case:

one charged and three neutral scalars have  $\mathcal{O}(v)$  masses

- analysis in the absence of quartic couplings
- null eigenvectors of the mass matrices in that situation
- Open ends
  - Very light state when  $|\sin \theta_a| \ll 1$  (see the numerical exercise)
  - Generic phenomenological prospects related to the light states?

**.**..

# Muito obrigado!

Thank you!

Miguel Nebot Light states in real nHDM with SCPV

