

Diluting quark flavor hierarchies using dihedral symmetry: a multi-Higgs example

Dipankar Das

Department of Physics, IIT Indore

5th September, 2024



♣ Sources : [arXiv:1908.03961 \(PRD\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.03961) and [arXiv:2107.03756 \(EPJC\)](https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.03756)

♣ Collaborators : M. Levy and A. Srivastava

Towards a world without miracles

- Approximate Reality:

$$m_u \approx 0, \quad m_d \approx 0, \quad V_{\text{CKM}} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_C & -\sin \theta_C & 0 \\ \sin \theta_C & \cos \theta_C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- The zeros are unrelated accidents in the SM.
- Rule of Attraction:

$$\text{Attractiveness of a theory} \propto \frac{1}{(\text{No. of miracles it needs to work})^n}$$

The value of 'n' depends on personal taste!

- We will use D_4 flavor symmetry to reduce the no. of miracles (hopefully!).

“ True beauty comes from symmetry, not chance,
As those move easiest who have learned to dance. ”

D_4 basics

“Symmetry is what we see at a glance; based on the fact that there is no reason for any difference...”

- D_4 has five irreducible representations: $\mathbf{1}_{++}$, $\mathbf{1}_{+-}$, $\mathbf{1}_{-+}$, $\mathbf{1}_{--}$ and $\mathbf{2}$
- We pick a basis such that the generators in the $\mathbf{2}$ representation are given by

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

a is of order 4 and b is of order 2.

- In this basis, the relevant tensor products are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}_2 \otimes \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix}_2 &= [x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2]_{\mathbf{1}_{++}} \oplus [x_1 y_2 - x_2 y_1]_{\mathbf{1}_{--}} \\ &\quad \oplus [x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1]_{\mathbf{1}_{-+}} \oplus [x_1 y_1 - x_2 y_2]_{\mathbf{1}_{+-}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{1}_{rs} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{r's'} = \mathbf{1}_{r''s''}, \quad (2b)$$

where $r'' = r \cdot r'$ and $s'' = s \cdot s'$.

D_4 symmetric 2HDM

Quarks transform in the following way under D_4 :

$$\mathbf{2} : \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} p_{1R} \\ p_{2R} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n_{1R} \\ n_{2R} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{1}_{++} : Q_3, \quad \mathbf{1}_{--} : p_{3R}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{-+} : n_{3R},$$

where

$$Q_k = \begin{pmatrix} p_{kL} \\ n_{kL} \end{pmatrix} \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

We also have two $SU(2)_L$ scalar doublets transforming under D_4 as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} : \quad \mathbf{2}$$

D_4 symmetric 2HDM

Quarks transform in the following way under D_4 :

$$\mathbf{2} : \begin{bmatrix} Q_1 \\ Q_2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} p_{1R} \\ p_{2R} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} n_{1R} \\ n_{2R} \end{bmatrix},$$
$$\mathbf{1}_{++} : Q_3, \quad \mathbf{1}_{--} : p_{3R}, \quad \mathbf{1}_{-+} : n_{3R},$$

where

$$Q_k = \begin{pmatrix} p_{kL} \\ n_{kL} \end{pmatrix} \quad k = 1, 2, 3.$$

We also have two $SU(2)_L$ scalar doublets transforming under D_4 as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix} : \quad \mathbf{2}$$

Yukawa Lagrangian that follows from the symmetry:

$$-\mathcal{L}_Y = A_u \left(\bar{Q}_1 \tilde{\phi}_2 - \bar{Q}_2 \tilde{\phi}_1 \right) p_{3R} + B_u \bar{Q}_3 \left(\tilde{\phi}_1 p_{1R} + \tilde{\phi}_2 p_{2R} \right) \\ + A_d \left(\bar{Q}_1 \phi_2 + \bar{Q}_2 \phi_1 \right) n_{3R} + B_d \bar{Q}_3 \left(\phi_1 n_{1R} + \phi_2 n_{2R} \right) + \text{h.c.},$$

Just as many Yukawa parameters as there are nonzero masses. Only extra parameter available to fix the quark mixing is $\tan \beta = \langle \phi_2 \rangle / \langle \phi_1 \rangle$.

Mass matrices and rotations

The mass matrices that follow from the Yukawa Lagrangian:

$$M_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_u v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -A_u v_1 \\ B_u v_1 & B_u v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_d v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & A_d v_1 \\ B_d v_1 & B_d v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \phi_k \rangle = v_k / \sqrt{2}$.

Mass matrices and rotations

The mass matrices that follow from the Yukawa Lagrangian:

$$M_u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_u v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -A_u v_1 \\ B_u v_1 & B_u v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_d v_2 \\ 0 & 0 & A_d v_1 \\ B_d v_1 & B_d v_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where $\langle \phi_k \rangle = v_k / \sqrt{2}$. The diagonal mass matrices can be obtained via the following biunitary transformations:

$$D_u = V_L \cdot M_u \cdot V_R^\dagger = \text{diag}(m_u, m_c, m_t), \quad (4a)$$

$$D_d = U_L \cdot M_d \cdot U_R^\dagger = \text{diag}(m_d, m_s, m_b). \quad (4b)$$

The matrices, V and U relate the quark fields in the gauge basis to those in the mass basis as follows:

$$u_L = V_L p_L, \quad u_R = V_R p_R, \quad (5a)$$

$$d_L = U_L n_L, \quad d_R = U_R n_R, \quad (5b)$$

The CKM matrix is then given by

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = V_L \cdot U_L^\dagger. \quad (6)$$

Quark sector at the zeroth order

The matrices, V_L and U_L can be obtained by diagonalizing $M_u M_u^\dagger$ and $M_d M_d^\dagger$ respectively:

$$M_u M_u^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A_u^2 v_2^2 & -A_u^2 v_1 v_2 & 0 \\ -A_u^2 v_1 v_2 & A_u^2 v_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_u^2 v^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_d M_d^\dagger = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} A_d^2 v_2^2 & A_d^2 v_1 v_2 & 0 \\ A_d^2 v_1 v_2 & A_d^2 v_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & B_d^2 v^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

To diagonalize the above matrices, introduce

$$U_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \beta & \sin \beta & 0 \\ -\sin \beta & \cos \beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

and check that

$$D_u^2 = U_\beta \cdot (M_u M_u^\dagger) \cdot U_\beta^\dagger = \text{diag} \left(0, A_u^2 v^2 / 2, B_u^2 v^2 / 2 \right), \quad (9a)$$

$$D_d^2 = U_\beta^\dagger \cdot (M_d M_d^\dagger) \cdot U_\beta = \text{diag} \left(0, A_d^2 v^2 / 2, B_d^2 v^2 / 2 \right). \quad (9b)$$

Quark sector at the zeroth order

- Thus, we can identify the masses of the physical quarks as

$$m_{u,d}^2 = 0, \quad m_{c,s}^2 = \frac{1}{2}A_{u,d}^2 v^2, \quad m_{t,b}^2 = \frac{1}{2}B_{u,d}^2 v^2. \quad (10)$$

- Also, comparing with the definitions in Eq. (4), we can conclude

$$V_L = U_\beta, \quad U_L = U_\beta^\dagger. \quad (11)$$

Quark sector at the zeroth order

- Thus, we can identify the masses of the physical quarks as

$$m_{u,d}^2 = 0, \quad m_{c,s}^2 = \frac{1}{2} A_{u,d}^2 v^2, \quad m_{t,b}^2 = \frac{1}{2} B_{u,d}^2 v^2. \quad (10)$$

- Also, comparing with the definitions in Eq. (4), we can conclude

$$V_L = U_\beta, \quad U_L = U_\beta^\dagger. \quad (11)$$

- Using Eq. (6) we can now easily calculate the CKM matrix as follows:

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = U_\beta \cdot U_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\beta & \sin 2\beta & 0 \\ -\sin 2\beta & \cos 2\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

Quark sector at the zeroth order

- Thus, we can identify the masses of the physical quarks as

$$m_{u,d}^2 = 0, \quad m_{c,s}^2 = \frac{1}{2} A_{u,d}^2 v^2, \quad m_{t,b}^2 = \frac{1}{2} B_{u,d}^2 v^2. \quad (10)$$

- Also, comparing with the definitions in Eq. (4), we can conclude

$$V_L = U_\beta, \quad U_L = U_\beta^\dagger. \quad (11)$$

- Using Eq. (6) we can now easily calculate the CKM matrix as follows:

$$V_{\text{CKM}} = U_\beta \cdot U_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\beta & \sin 2\beta & 0 \\ -\sin 2\beta & \cos 2\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (12)$$

- One can now identify the Cabibbo mixing angle as

$$\sin \theta_C = \sin 2\beta \approx 0.22. \quad (13)$$

Note on the scalar sector

$$V = -\mu_1^2 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1) - \mu_2^2 (\phi_2^\dagger \phi_2) - \mu_{12}^2 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_1) + \lambda_1 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2)^2 + \lambda_2 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 - \phi_2^\dagger \phi_1)^2 + \lambda_3 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_2 + \phi_2^\dagger \phi_1)^2 + \lambda_4 (\phi_1^\dagger \phi_1 - \phi_2^\dagger \phi_2)^2. \quad (14)$$

- The D_4 symmetry needs to be softly broken in the bilinear terms so that general values of $\tan \beta$ can be allowed.
- The physical scalar masses (assuming alignment limit) are given by

$$m_h^2 = 2(\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)v^2, \quad (15)$$

$$m_H^2 = \frac{2\mu_{12}^2}{\sin 2\beta} + 2(\lambda_4 - \lambda_3)v^2, \quad (16)$$

$$m_+^2 = \frac{2\mu_{12}^2}{\sin 2\beta} - 2\lambda_3v^2, \quad (17)$$

$$m_A^2 = \frac{2\mu_{12}^2}{\sin 2\beta} - 2(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)v^2. \quad (18)$$

- The soft-breaking parameter μ_{12}^2 helps the nonstandard scalars to become heavy and thus can get decoupled from the EW scale.

FCNCs? Sure!

$$\mathcal{L}_Y^{\text{CP even}} = -\frac{h}{v} (\bar{u} D_u u + \bar{d} D_d d) - \frac{H}{v} \left[\bar{u} (N_u P_R + N_u^\dagger P_L) u + \bar{d} (N_d P_R + N_d^\dagger P_L) d \right], \quad (19)$$

$$N_u = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_t & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad N_d = - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_s & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ m_b & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (20)$$

Appropriately suppressed scalar mediated FCNCs are a prediction! Bound on nonstandard mass scale from $\Delta F = 2$ processes $M > \mathcal{O}(3 \text{ TeV})$.

How to get closer to the reality?

- How to generate correct nonzero values of the small parameters?
- This $D4\text{-}2\text{HDM}$ might be a constituent part of a more elaborate theoretical framework.

“ Whoever thinks a faultless piece to see,
Thinks what ne'er was, nor is, nor e'er shall be, ”

Going beyond 2HDM



$$\mathbf{2} : \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1L} \\ Q_{2L} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{++} &: n_{1R}, & \mathbf{1}_{--} &: n_{2R}, n_{3R}, \phi_u, \\ \mathbf{1}_{-+} &: p_{2R}, p_{3R}, \phi_d, & \mathbf{1}_{+-} &: Q_{3L}, p_{1R}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Going beyond 2HDM



$$\mathbf{2} : \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1L} \\ Q_{2L} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{++} &: n_{1R}, & \mathbf{1}_{--} &: n_{2R}, n_{3R}, \phi_u, \\ \mathbf{1}_{-+} &: p_{2R}, p_{3R}, \phi_d, & \mathbf{1}_{+-} &: Q_{3L}, p_{1R}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

- The gauge and D_4 invariant Yukawa Lagrangians in the up and down quark sectors are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_u &= A_u(\bar{Q}_{1L}\tilde{\phi}_1 - \bar{Q}_{2L}\tilde{\phi}_2)p_{1R} + B_u(\bar{Q}_{1L}\tilde{\phi}_2 + \bar{Q}_{2L}\tilde{\phi}_1)p_{2R} + C_u(\bar{Q}_{1L}\tilde{\phi}_2 + \bar{Q}_{2L}\tilde{\phi}_1)p_{3R} \\ &\quad + X_u\bar{Q}_{3L}\tilde{\phi}_up_{2R} + Y_u\bar{Q}_{3L}\tilde{\phi}_up_{3R}, \end{aligned} \quad (23a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_d &= A_d(\bar{Q}_{1L}\phi_1 + \bar{Q}_{2L}\phi_2)n_{1R} + B_d(\bar{Q}_{1L}\phi_2 - \bar{Q}_{2L}\phi_1)n_{2R} + C_d(\bar{Q}_{1L}\phi_2 - \bar{Q}_{2L}\phi_1)n_{3R} \\ &\quad + X_d\bar{Q}_{3L}\phi_dn_{2R} + Y_d\bar{Q}_{3L}\phi_dn_{3R}. \end{aligned} \quad (23b)$$

Going beyond 2HDM

•

$$\mathbf{2} : \begin{bmatrix} Q_{1L} \\ Q_{2L} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{++} &: n_{1R}, & \mathbf{1}_{--} &: n_{2R}, n_{3R}, \phi_u, \\ \mathbf{1}_{-+} &: p_{2R}, p_{3R}, \phi_d, & \mathbf{1}_{+-} &: Q_{3L}, p_{1R}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

- The gauge and D_4 invariant Yukawa Lagrangians in the up and down quark sectors are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_u &= A_u(\bar{Q}_{1L}\tilde{\phi}_1 - \bar{Q}_{2L}\tilde{\phi}_2)p_{1R} + B_u(\bar{Q}_{1L}\tilde{\phi}_2 + \bar{Q}_{2L}\tilde{\phi}_1)p_{2R} + C_u(\bar{Q}_{1L}\tilde{\phi}_2 + \bar{Q}_{2L}\tilde{\phi}_1)p_{3R} \\ &\quad + X_u\bar{Q}_{3L}\tilde{\phi}_u p_{2R} + Y_u\bar{Q}_{3L}\tilde{\phi}_u p_{3R}, \end{aligned} \quad (23a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{L}_d &= A_d(\bar{Q}_{1L}\phi_1 + \bar{Q}_{2L}\phi_2)n_{1R} + B_d(\bar{Q}_{1L}\phi_2 - \bar{Q}_{2L}\phi_1)n_{2R} + C_d(\bar{Q}_{1L}\phi_2 - \bar{Q}_{2L}\phi_1)n_{3R} \\ &\quad + X_d\bar{Q}_{3L}\phi_d n_{2R} + Y_d\bar{Q}_{3L}\phi_d n_{3R}. \end{aligned} \quad (23b)$$

- VEV hierarchy: $v_{1,2} \ll v_{u,d}$
- The third generation of quarks will get their masses from v_u and v_d in the up and down sector respectively while $v_{12} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$ is responsible for the first two generations of quarks.

Highlights



$$V_{\text{CKM}} \approx \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\beta & -\sin 2\beta & -\theta_d \sin 2\beta \\ \sin 2\beta & \cos 2\beta & \theta_d \cos 2\beta - \theta_u \\ \theta_u \sin 2\beta & \theta_d + \theta_u \cos 2\beta & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (24)$$

- First generation: $m_u^2 = A_u^2 v_{12}^2$, $m_d^2 = A_d^2 v_{12}^2$.
- $v_{12} \approx \mathcal{O}(1 \text{ GeV})$, $v_{u,d} \approx \mathcal{O}(100 \text{ GeV})$, implying $\theta_{u,d} \approx \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{v_{12}}{v_{u,d}}\right) \approx \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \Rightarrow$ Naturally leads to the Wolfenstein parametrization of V_{CKM}
- $m_{t,b}^2 \approx (Y_{u,d}^2 + X_{u,d}^2)v_{u,d}^2$
- $m_{c,s}^2 \approx \frac{(B_{u,d}Y_{u,d} - C_{u,d}X_{u,d})^2}{(Y_{u,d}^2 + X_{u,d}^2)}v_{12}^2$.

Conclusions

People who believe in hidden patterns in quark masses and mixings



Conclusions

People who believe in hidden patterns in quark masses and mixings



Flavor model building can be simple, fun and exciting!

Conclusions

People who believe in hidden patterns in quark masses and mixings



Flavor model building can be simple, fun and exciting!



THANK YOU !